arXiv:1608.06826v1 [physics.gen-ph] 15 Aug 2016

Charged Cylindrical Polytropes with Generalized Polytropic Equation of State

M. Azam[1] *, S. A. Mardan[2] †, I. Noureen[2] ‡and M. A. Rehman[2] §
[1] Division of Science and Technology, University of Education,
Township Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.
[2] Department of Mathematics,
University of the Management and Technology,
C-II, Johar Town, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

Abstract

We study the general formalism of polytropes in relativistic regime with generalized polytropic equations of state in the vicinity of cylindrical symmetry. We take charged anisotropic fluid distribution of matter with conformally flat condition for the development of general framework of polytropes. We discussed the stability of the model by Whittaker formula and concluded that one of the developed model is physically viable.

Keywords: Relativistic Anisotropic Fluids; Polytropes; Electromagnetic Field; Cylindrical symmetry.

*azam.math@ue.edu.pk, azammath@gmail.com
†syedalimardanazmi@yahoo.com, ali.azmi@umt.edu.pk
‡ifra.noureen@gmail.com, ifra.noureen@umt.edu.pk
§aziz3037@yahoo.com, aziz.rehman@umt.edu.pk

1 Introduction

In general relativity (GR), polytropes play very vital role in the modeling of relativistic compact objects (CO). Over the past few decades, many researchers have been engaged in the study of polytropes due to simple form of polytropic equation of state (EoS) and corresponding Lane-Emden equation (LEE) that can be used for the description of various astrophysical phenomenons. Chandrasekhar [1] was the pioneer, who established the theory of polytropes originated through laws of thermodynamics in Newtonian regime. Tooper [2, 3] formulated the initial framework of polytropes for compressible and adiabatic fluid under quasi-static equilibrium condition to develop LEE. After few years, Kovetz [4] provided some corrections in Chandrasekhar formalism for polytropes. The general form of LEE in higher dimensional space was developed by Abramowicz [5] in spherical, cylindrical and planner geometry.

The study of anisotropy in the modeling of astrophysical CO plays a very significant role and many physical problem cannot be modeled without taking account anisotropic stress. In 1981, a very sophisticated process of modeling anisotropic CO was provided by Cosenza [6]. In this scenario, Herrera and Santos [7] presented a detailed study for the existence of anisotropy in self-gravitating CO. Herrera and Barreto [8] developed a general model for Newtonian and relativistic anisotropic polytropes. Herrera et al. [9] gave a complete set of equations with anisotropic stress for self-gravitating spherical CO. A new way to check the stability of anisotropic polytropic models through Tolman mass was provided by Herrera and Barreto [10, 11]. Herrera et al. [12] used conformally flat condition in the analysis of anisotropic polytropes to reduce parameters involve in LEE. He and his coworkers [13] also discussed the implementation of cracking criteria for the stability of anisotropic polytropes.

In GR, the existence of charge considerably affect the modeling of relativistic CO. Bekenstein [14] investigated gravitational collapse by means of hydrostatic equilibrium equation in charged CO. Bonnor [15, 16] showed that gravitational collapse can be delayed by electric repulsions in CO. A complete study of the contraction of charged CO in isotropic coordinates was presented by Bondi [17]. Koppar et al. [18] developed a novel way to calculate charge generalization of static charged fluid solution of CO. Ray et al. [19] investigated charged CO with high density and found that they can have large amount of charge approximately 10^{20} coulomb. Herrera et al. [20] used

structure scalars to study dissipative fluids in charged spherical CO. Takisa [21] provided models of polytropic CO in the presence of charge. Sharif [22] developed modified LEE for charged polytropic CO with conformally flat condition. Azam et al. [23] discussed the cracking of different charged CO models with liner and quadratic EoS.

It is always a crucial issue to choose proper EoS for the modeling of astronomical objects. Chavanis proposed a modification in conventional polytropic EoS $P_r = K\rho^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$, where P_r is radial pressure, n is polytropic index and K is polytropic constant. He combined linear EoS $P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o$ with polytropic EoS as $P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$, and used it to describe different cosmological situations. He developed the models of early and late universe for $n > 0$ and $n < 0$ through generalized polytropic equation of state (GPEoS) [24]. Freitas [25] applied the modified polytropic EoS for the development of universe model with constant energy density and discussed quantum fluctuations of universe. Azam et al. [26] provided a comprehensive study for the development of modified form of LEE with GPEoS for spherical symmetry.

Cylindrically symmetric spacetimes have been used widely in GR to describe various physically interesting aspects. For the first time, Kompaneets [27] provided the general form of four-dimensional cylindrically symmetric metric. Some specific examples of cylindrically symmetric metric which provide exact solutions to the system of Einsteins field equations and cylindrical gravitational waves were studied in [28, 29]. Thorne [29] defined the C-energy of cylindrical systems defined as "gravitational energy per unit specific length". The most interesting fact about these spacetimes is the so-called C-energy and as a result gravitational waves are thought to be carrier of energy in gravitational field. Whittaker [30] introduced the concept of "mass potential" in GR. Herrera et al. [31] used conformally flat condition with cylindrical symmetry to gave solution of field equations which is completely matched to Levi-Civita vacuum spacetime. Herrera and Santos [32] studied matching condition for perfect fluid cylindrical gravitational collapse. Debbasch et al. [33] discussed regularity and matching condition for stationary cylindrical anisotropic fluid. Di Prisco et al. [34] studied cylindrical gravitational collapse with shear-free condition. Sharif and Fatima [35] presented cylindrical collapse with charged anisotropic fluid. Sharif and Azam [36] studied dynamical instability of cylindrical collapse in Newtonian and post Newtonian regime. Ghua and Banerji [37] described dissipative cylindrical collapse with charge anisotropic fluid. Sharif and Sadiq [38] presented conformally flat polytropes with anisotropic fluid for cylindrical geometry.

Mahmood et al. [39] considered charged anisotropic fluid for the discussion of cylindrical collapse and found that presence of charge enhances the anisotropy of the collapsing system.

In this paper, we will explore charged anisotropic polytropes by using GPEoS for a cylindrical symmetric configuration with conformally flat condition. In Sect. **2**, we present the Einstein-Maxwell field equations and modified hydrostatic equilibrium equation. In Sect. **3**, LEE is developed for relativistic polytropes. The energy conditions, conformally flat condition and stability of the model is given in Sect. **4**. In the last section we conclude our results.

2 Matter Distribution and Einstein-Maxwell Field Equations

In this section, we will describe the inner matter distribution and Einstein-Maxwell's field equations. We assume static cylindrically symmetric spacetime

$$ds^2 = -A^2dt^2 + B^2dr^2 + C^2d\theta^2 + dz^2, \tag{1}$$

where $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $r \in [0, \infty)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $z \in (-\infty, \infty)$ are the conditions on the cylindrical coordinates. The energy-momentum tensor for charged anisotropic fluid distribution is

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ij} &= (P_r + \rho)V_iV_j - (P_r - P_z)S_iS_j - (P_r - P_\theta)K_iK_j + P_r g_{ij} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi}(F_i^\gamma F_{j\gamma} - \frac{1}{4}F^{\gamma\beta}F_{\gamma\beta}g_{ij}), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $P_r,\ P_\theta,\ P_z$ and ρ represent pressures in $r,\ \theta,\ z$ directions and energy density of fluid inside cylindrical symmetric distribution. The four velocity V_i and four vectors $S_i,\ K_i$ satisfying the following relations

$$V^iV_i = -1,\ S^iS_i = K^iK_i = 1,\ S^ik_i = V^ik_i = V^iS_i = 0. \tag{3}$$

These quantities in co-moving coordinates can be written as

$$V_i = A\delta_i^0,\ K_i = C\delta_i^2,\ S_i = A\delta_i^3. \tag{4}$$

The Maxwell field equations are

$$F_{[ij;k]} = 0, \quad F^{ij}_{;j} = 4\pi J^i, \tag{5}$$

where $F_{ij} = \psi_{j,i} - \psi_{i,j}$ is field tensor and ψ_i is the four-potential and J^i is four-current. The four-potential and four-velocity are related to each other in co-moving coordinates as

$$\psi_i = \psi(r)\delta_i^0, \quad J^i = \sigma V^i, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{6}$$

with ψ and σ represents scalar potential and charge density respectively.
The Einstein-Maxwell field equations for line element Eq.(1) are given by

$$\frac{B'C'}{B^3C} - \frac{C''}{B^2C} = 8\pi\rho - 4\pi^2E^2, \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{A'C'}{AB^2C} = 8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2E^2, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{A''}{AB^2} - \frac{A'B'}{AB^3} = 8\pi P_\theta - 4\pi^2E^2, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{A''}{AB^2} - \frac{A'B'}{AB^3} - \frac{B'C'}{B^3C} - \frac{A'C'}{AB^2C} + \frac{C''}{B^2C} = 8\pi P_z - 4\pi^2E^2, \tag{10}$$

where "$\prime$" denotes the differentiation with respect to r and $E = \frac{q}{2\pi C}$ with $q(r) = 4\pi\int_0^r \sigma BCdr$ represents total amount of charge per unit length of cylinder. We consider the exterior metric for the cylindrical symmetric geometry with retarded time coordinate ν defined as

$$ds^2 = -(-\frac{2M}{R} + \frac{Q^2}{R^2})d\nu^2 - 2d\nu dR + R^2(d\theta^2 + \beta^2dz^2), \tag{11}$$

where M is the total mass and β is arbitrary constant.
The junction condition has a very important role in the theory of relativistic objects. These condition provide us the feasibility of physically acceptable solutions. For the continuity and matching of two spacetimes, junction conditions on the boundary Σ yields [40, 41]

$$m(r) - M \overset{\Sigma}{=} \frac{l}{8}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Q^2 \overset{\Sigma}{=} \frac{ql^2}{8}, \quad l \overset{\Sigma}{=} 4C, \quad P_r \overset{\Sigma}{=} 0. \tag{12}$$

The Schwarzschild coordinate is selected as $C = r$ [38] and Einstein-Maxwell field equations reduced to the form [39]

$$\frac{B'}{rB^3} = 8\pi\rho - 4\pi^2E^2, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{A'}{AB^2r} = 8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2E^2, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{A''}{AB^2} - \frac{A'B'}{AB^3} = 8\pi P_\theta - 4\pi^2E^2, \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{A''}{AB^2} - \frac{A'B'}{AB^3} - \frac{B'}{B^3r} - \frac{A'}{AB^2r} = 8\pi P_z - 4\pi^2E^2. \tag{16}$$

Solving Eqs.(13)-(15) simultaneously lead to hydrostatic equilibrium equation

$$\frac{dP_r}{dr} + \frac{A'}{A}\big(\rho + P_r\big) + \frac{\Delta}{r} + \pi EE' + \frac{8\pi E^2}{r} = 0, \tag{17}$$

where we have used $\Delta = P_r - P_\theta$.
Thorne [29] defined C-energy (gravitational energy per unit specific length of cylindrical geometry), in the form of mass function

$$\widetilde{E} = \frac{1}{8}[1 - l^{-2}\nabla^a\widetilde{r}\nabla_a\widetilde{r}],$$

with

$$\mu^2 = \xi_{(1)a}\xi^a_{(1)}, \quad l^2 = \xi_{(2)a}\xi^a_{(2)}, \quad \widetilde{r} = \mu l,$$

here $\widetilde{r}, \mu, l$ represents areal radius, circumference radius, specific length respectively and for static case expression of C-energy can be written as

$$m(r) = \widetilde{E}' = \frac{1}{8}\Big[1 - \frac{1}{B^2}\Big] + 2\pi r^2E, \tag{18}$$

Differentiating Eq.(18) and using Eq.(14), we get

$$\frac{A'}{A} = \frac{8\pi rP_r + 4\pi^2rE^2}{1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2}. \tag{19}$$

Using Eq.(19), the hydrostatic equilibrium equation (17) become

$$\frac{dP_r}{dr} + \frac{8\pi rP_r + 4\pi^2rE^2}{1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2}\big(\rho + P_r\big) + \frac{\Delta}{r} + \pi EE' + \frac{8\pi E^2}{r} = 0. \tag{20}$$

The basic theory of polytropes is established with the hypothesis of polytropic EoS and hydrostatic equilibrium state of the relativistic object under consideration. In the next section, we will discuss relativistic polytropes with generalize polytropic EoS in the presence of charge for cylindrical symmetry.

3 The Relativistic Polytropes

In this section, we provide a comprehensive way for the development of LEE which is the main consequence of theory of polytropes with GPEoS in cylindrical regime. The EoS is the union of linear EoS "$P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o$" and polytropic EoS "$P_r = K\rho_o^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$". The linear EoS describes pressureless ($\alpha_1 = 0$) or radiation ($\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{3}$) matter. The polytropic part is related to the cosmological aspects of early universe for "$n > 0$" whereas it describes late universe with "$n < 0$" [18, 19]. The cosmic behavior of universe is demonstrated with "ρ_o" as Planck density but in relativistic regime we take it as mass density for case **1** and total energy density in case **2**. Here, we shall develop the general formalism for relativistic polytropes with GPEoS in the presence of charge.

3.1 Case 1

Here, the GPEoS is

$$P_r = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho_o^{\gamma} = \alpha_1\rho_o + K\rho_o^{1+\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{21}$$

The original polytropic part remain conserved and the relationship of mass density ρ_0 with total energy density ρ is given by [7]

$$\rho = \rho_o + nP_r. \tag{22}$$

Now taking following assumptions

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{rc}}{\rho_{gc}}, \quad r = \frac{\xi}{X}, \quad \rho_o = \rho_{gc}\theta^n, \quad m(r) = \frac{2\pi\rho_{gc}v(\xi)}{X^2}, \quad X^2 = \frac{16\pi P_{rc}}{\alpha}, \tag{23}$$

where P_{rc} and ρ_{gc} represents the central pressure and mass density. Also ξ, θ and v defined to be dimensionless variables. Using assumptions (23) with EoS (21), the hydrostatic equilibrium equation Eq.(20) transform as

$$\Big(\frac{1-v(\xi)+4\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{rc}}}E^2\xi}{1+(n+1)(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta)}\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + \Big(\frac{2P_{rc}\alpha(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta)\theta^n+\pi E^2}{n\alpha_1\theta^{-n}+(n+1)\alpha\theta}\Big)\frac{\alpha\xi}{4P_{rc}}$$
$$+\frac{(\Delta+\pi\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi}+8\pi E^2)(1-v(\xi)+4\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{rc}}}E^2\xi)}{(1+(n+1)(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta))(n\alpha_1\theta^{-n}+(n+1)\alpha)}\frac{\theta^n}{\alpha\xi P_{rc}} = 0. \tag{24}$$

Now taking derivative of Eq. (18) with respect to "r" and applying relations of Eq. (23), we obtain

$$\frac{dv(\xi)}{d\xi} = \xi\theta^n(1 + n\alpha_1 + n\alpha\theta) + \frac{(4-\pi)\alpha\xi E}{2P_{rc}} + \frac{\alpha\xi^2}{P_{rc}}\frac{dE}{d\xi}. \tag{25}$$

The combination of Eqs. (24) and (25) results the modified LE (Eq.(39) in appendix), which describe the relativistic charged polytropes with GPEoS.

3.2 Case 2

In this case the GPEoS as $P_r = \alpha_1\rho + K\rho^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$, here mass density ρ_o is replaced by total energy density ρ in Eq.(21) and have following relation [7]

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_o}{\left(1 - K\rho_o^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n}. \tag{26}$$

We take following assumptions

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{rc}}{\rho_c}, \quad r = \frac{\xi}{X}, \quad \rho = \rho_c\theta^n, \quad m(r) = \frac{2\pi\rho_c v(\xi)}{X^2}, \quad X^2 = \frac{16\pi P_{rc}}{\alpha}, \tag{27}$$

where c means that each quantity is calculated at center of CO. Using GPEoS and assumptions of Eq. (27), the hydrostatic equilibrium equation (20) turns out to be

$$\Big(\frac{1 - v(\xi) + 4\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{rc}}}E^2\xi}{1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha\theta}\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + \Big(\frac{2P_{rc}\alpha(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)\theta^n + \pi E^2}{n\alpha_1\theta^{-n} + (n+1)\alpha\theta}\Big)\frac{\alpha\xi}{4P_{rc}}$$
$$+\frac{(\Delta + \pi\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi} + 8\pi E^2)(1 - v(\xi) + 4\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{rc}}}E^2\xi)}{(1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha\theta)(n\alpha_1\theta^{-n} + (n+1)\alpha\theta)}\frac{\theta^n}{\alpha\xi P_{rc}} = 0. \tag{28}$$

Taking derivative of Eq. (18) with respect to "r" and applying Eq. (27), we get

$$\frac{dv(\xi)}{d\xi} = \xi\theta^n + \frac{(4-\pi)\alpha\xi E}{2P_{rc}} + \frac{\alpha\xi^2}{P_{rc}}\frac{dE}{d\xi}. \tag{29}$$

We get modified LEE by using Eqs. (29) and Eq. (28) given in (**Appendix** (40)), represents the relativistic charged polytropes with GPEoS.

4 Energy Conditions, Conformally Flat Condition and Stability Analysis

In the mathematical modeling of CO, the energy conditions plays a very peculiar role in the analysis of developed model. The energy condition provide us the maximum information without depending upon EoS used in the modeling. These conditions have been developed with understanding that energy density is always positive otherwise the empty space created due to positive and negative regions definitely become unstable. The energy conditions should be satisfied by all the models are [42]

$$\rho+\frac{\pi}{2}E^2>0,\quad P_r\leq\rho-\pi E^2,\quad \frac{P_\theta}{\rho}\leq,\quad \frac{P_z}{\rho}\leq 1. \tag{30}$$

If we take case **1** of developed model, the energy conditions (30) transform as

$$\begin{aligned}&1+\frac{\pi E^2}{1+n(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta)\theta^n}>0,\quad 1\leq\frac{\pi E^2}{(n-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta)},\\&\frac{\alpha P_\theta}{P_{rc}(1+n(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta))\theta^n}\leq 1,\quad \frac{\alpha P_z}{P_{rc}(1+n(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta))\theta^n}\leq 1,\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

and for case **2** the energy conditions (30) emerge as

$$1+\frac{\pi\alpha\theta^{-n}E^2}{2P_{rc}}>0,\ 1\leq\frac{\pi\alpha E^2\theta^n+\alpha P_{rc}\theta}{P_{rc}(1-\alpha_1)},\ \frac{P_\theta\alpha\theta^{-n}}{P_{rc}}\leq 1,\ \frac{P_z\alpha\theta^{-n}}{P_{rc}}\leq 1. \tag{32}$$

We observe that coupled Eqs.(24)-(25) and Eqs.(28)-(29) results a system of differential equations with three variables. Thus, we need more information to study charged polytropic CO with GPEoS in cylindrical symmetry. So, we use conformally flat condition to reduce a systems of differential equations to two variables.

For this purpose, the Weyl scalar defined in the form of Kretchman scalar, Recci tensor and Recci scalar given by [38]

$$C^2=\mathcal{R}+\frac{R^2}{3}-2R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}. \tag{33}$$

For our line element, above equation become

$$C^2 = \frac{4}{3r^2A^2B^6}\Big[\Big(A^2 - rAA' + r^2A'^2\Big)B'^2 + B^2\Big(A'^2 - rA'A'' + r^2A''^2\Big) + BB'\Big(rA'\Big(A' - 2rA''\Big) + A\Big(A' + rA''\Big)\Big)\Big]. \quad (34)$$

Now applying conformally flatness i.e., $C^2 = 0$, and using field equations (13)-(16) and (19) in the above Eq.(34), we obtain anisotropy as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta = & -\pi E^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi}(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2E^2 + \Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2E^2\Big)^2 \\ & + \Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2E^2\Big)\Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi\rho\Big) + \Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi\rho\Big)^2 \\ & + \Big(-1 - 8\pi P_r - 8\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi\rho\Big)\Bigg[\frac{\Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2rE^2\Big)^2}{\Big(1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2\Big)^2} \\ & - \frac{r\Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2rE^2\Big)\Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi\rho\Big)}{1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2} + \\ & \frac{4\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi P_r' + 8\pi^2rEE'}{1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2} - \\ & \frac{\Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2rE^2\Big)\Big(16\pi^2E^2 - 8m' + 32\pi^2rEE'\Big)}{\Big(1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2\Big)^2}\Bigg] + \\ & \Bigg[\frac{\Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2rE^2\Big)^2}{\Big(1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2\Big)^2} - \frac{r\Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2rE^2\Big)\Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi\rho\Big)}{1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2} + \\ & \frac{4\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi P_r' + 8\pi^2rEE'}{1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2} - \\ & \frac{\Big(8\pi P_r + 4\pi^2rE^2\Big)\Big(16\pi^2E^2 - 8m' + 32\pi^2rEE'\Big)}{\Big(1 - 8m + 16\pi^2rE^2\Big)^2}\Big)^2\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now using Eq. (23) in above equation, we obtain anisotropy factor for case **1** given in (**Appendix** (41)). One can derive modified LEE for conformally

flat polytropes by using Eqs. (41) in (24) and coupling with Eq. (25) for case **1**. Similarly, anisotropy parameter for case **2** turn out to be Eq. (42) (see **Appendix**) and modified LEE by using Eqs. (42) in (28) and coupling it with Eq.(29) for case **2**, which can help in the study of conformally flat polytropes.

We will use modify Whittaker [30] formula for the stability analysis of the model, which is the measure of "active gravitational mass per unit length" of cylinder defined by

$$m_L = 8\pi \int_0^{r_\Sigma} (\rho + P_r + P_\theta + P_z - \pi E^2) dr. \tag{36}$$

For case **1**, using field Eqs.(13)-(16), (19) and (23) in (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
m_L = \int_0^1 & -\frac{\pi\sqrt{(-\frac{2M}{R}+\frac{Q^2}{R^2})\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}{\alpha\Big(4\pi^{3/2}\alpha\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}} - P_{\rm rc}(-1+v)\Big)\theta} \\
& \Big(-16\pi^{5/2}\alpha^2\xi E^3\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\theta + \pi^2\alpha^2\xi E^4\Big(3\xi + 32\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)\theta + 4\pi E P_{\rm rc} \\
& \theta\Big(-\alpha + 2\xi\Big(-\alpha + 32\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1 + \alpha\theta\Big)\Big)\frac{dE}{d\xi} + v\Big(\alpha + 2\alpha\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)\Big) + \\
& 8P_{\rm rc}\Big(-\alpha\Delta(-1+v)\theta + 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha\xi\Big(\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}\Big)^{3/2}\alpha_1\Big(1+n\alpha_1\Big)\theta^{1+2n} + \\
& 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^2\xi\Big(\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}\Big)^{3/2}\Big(1+2n\alpha_1\Big)\theta^{2+2n} + 2n\sqrt{\pi}\alpha^3\xi\Big(\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}\Big)^{3/2}\theta^{3+2n} - \\
& \alpha P_{\rm rc}\theta^{2+n}\frac{dv}{d\xi} + nP_{\rm rc}\alpha_1(-1+v)\theta^n\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \\
& P_{\rm rc}\theta^{1+n}\Big(\alpha_1\frac{dv}{d\xi} - (1+n)\alpha(-1+v)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\Big)\Big) + \\
& \sqrt{\pi}E^2\Big(128\pi^{3/2}P_{\rm rc}^2\theta^{1+n}\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big) + \alpha^2\xi\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\theta\Big(1+32\pi\Delta - v - \frac{dv}{d\xi}\Big) - \\
& 2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha P_{\rm rc}\Big(2(-1+v)\theta + \alpha\xi\Big(-n\xi + 4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)\theta^{2+n} + \\
& 16n\sqrt{\pi}\xi\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\alpha_1\theta^n\frac{d\theta}{d\xi} - \\
& \xi\theta^{1+n}(\xi + \Big(n\xi - 4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)\alpha_1 - 16(1+n)\sqrt{\pi}\alpha\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\Big)\Big)\Big)\Big)\Big)d\xi. \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for case **2**, Whittaker formula yields

$$m_L = \int_0^1 -\frac{\pi\sqrt{(-\frac{2M}{R}+\frac{Q^2}{R^2})\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}{\alpha\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)}$$
$$\Big(-\frac{16\pi^{5/2}\alpha\xi E^3}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{\pi^2\alpha^2\xi E^4\Big(3\xi+32\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)}{P_{\rm rc}}+4\pi\alpha E(-1+v)+$$
$$1\Big/\Big(\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)\sqrt{\pi}E^2\Big(\alpha\Big(\xi+32\pi\Delta\xi+4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)-\alpha\Big(\xi+4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)v+$$
$$2\sqrt{\pi}\Big(P_{\rm rc}\Big(-4\sqrt{\pi}\xi+64\pi\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big)\alpha_1+\alpha\xi^2\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big(1+n\alpha_1\Big)\Big)\theta^n+$$
$$2\sqrt{\pi}\alpha\Big(-4\sqrt{\pi}\xi P_{\rm rc}+n\alpha\xi^2\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}+64\pi\alpha\Big(\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}\Big)^{3/2}\Big)\theta^{1+n}\Big)+$$
$$8\alpha\Big(\Delta-\Delta v+2\sqrt{\pi}\xi\Big(\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}\Big)^{3/2}\theta^{2n}\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)\Big)d\xi. \quad (38)$$

In order to test the physical viability of polytropes, we formulate the energy condition and found that these conditions are satisfied only for case **1** and fail in case **2** for charged anisotropic polytropes with GPEoS. We also plot Whittaker formula (m_L) given in Eq. (37) with respect to dimensionless radius "ξ'' in radial direction for a cylinder of unit radius. The bounded behavior of (m_L) in radial direction for various values of charge shows that our model is stable. So, only case **1** for polytropes are physically viable.

5 Conclusion and Discussion

In this article, we have formulated the general framework to study charged relativistic polytropes with GPEoS in cylindrical regime. The GPEoS $P_r = \alpha_1\rho + K\rho^{1+\frac{1}{n}}$, is the combination of linear EoS with polytropic EoS and used in cosmology for the description of eras of universe. For the discussion of relativistic polytropes, we have developed the generalized framework to get the expressions of modified LEE whose solutions are called polytropes. The polytropes mainly depends on the density function and the polytropic index decides the order of solution. These polytropes are very useful in the description of various astrophysical aspects of CO due to its simplicity.



Figure 1: Case 1: m_L as a function of ξ for $n = 1$, curve a: $\alpha = 8 \times 10^{-11}$, Q=0.2 $M_\odot$, curve b: $\alpha = 10^{-10}$, Q=0.4 $M_\odot$, curve c: $\alpha = 2 \times 10^{-10}$, Q=0.6 $M_\odot$, curve d: $\alpha = 4 \times 10^{-10}$, Q=0.64 $M_\odot$.

.

However, this simplicity is obtained at the cost of empirical power law relation between density and pressure, which should hold throughout in CO. The LEE have been obtained with anisotropic factor "Δ'' in the expressions (see appendix Eqs. (39) and (40)) depending upon three variables. In order to reduce one variable ("Δ''), we have used conformally flat condition and calculated the value of anisotropic factor in the form of other two variables (see appendix Eqs. (41) and (42)). One can easily obtain modified LE in two variables by substituting these values of anisotropy factor.

The stability analysis is very important in the development of mathematical models to check the physical viability. The energy conditions are very help full in this regard as they can grasp maximum information without considering EoS involved in the development of model. The energy conditions have been obtained for both cases of GPEoS in the presence of electromagnetic field. We have used modified form of Whittaker formula [30] for the stability analysis of charged anisotropic relativistic polytropes. We have calculated m_L which is the measure of "active gravitational mass per unit length" in z-direction, for both case of GPEoS for different values of parameters involve in model (see Figure **1**) for cylinder of unit radius. We have taken these val-

ues from our previous work of polytropes [26]. We have plotted m_L against dimension less radii ξ and found that its graph remain bounded in radial direction even for the very high values of charge $Q = 0.2\ M_\odot$, $0.4\ M_\odot$, $0.6\ M_\odot$ and $0.64\ M_\odot$. Also it is clear that more mass is concentrated near the center of cylinder and its magnitude decreases as we move away from center in radial direction. The highest magnitude is observed in the middle of cylindrical symmetry in radial direction. As the gravitational mass cannot be negative so Figure **1** shows the stable positive and bounded behavior of m_L, which show that our model is stable. The energy conditions are valid only for case **1** and fail to hold for case **2**. Hence model developed in case **2** is not physically viable due to negation of energy conditions.

Appendix

LEE for case **1**

$$\frac{1}{4}\Big(\frac{\alpha\Big(\pi E^2+2\alpha P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)}{P_{\rm rc}\Big((1+n)\alpha\theta+n\alpha_1\theta^{-n}\Big)}-\frac{4\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)}{\alpha\xi^2P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)^2}+\Big(2\theta^{-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)\Big(\frac{8\pi^{3/2}\alpha E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}-2\xi\Big(P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)+\alpha\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)+\alpha\xi E\Big(-4+\pi+\frac{16\pi^{3/2}\frac{dE}{d\xi}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}\Big)\Big)\Big/\Big(\alpha\xi P_{\rm rc}^2\Big(1+(1+n)\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)^2\Big)-\frac{\alpha\xi\theta^{-1+n}\Big(-n^2\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta^{1+n}\Big)\Big(\pi E^2+2\alpha P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{P_{\rm rc}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta^{1+n}\Big)^2}-\frac{8(1+n)\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\xi P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)^3}-$$

$$\frac{4n\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-1-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)^2}+$$
$$\Big(2\Big(\frac{8\pi^{3/2}\alpha E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}-2\xi\Big(P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)+\alpha\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)+$$
$$\alpha\xi E\Big(-4+\pi+\frac{16\pi^{3/2}\frac{dE}{d\xi}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}\Big)\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\Big)/\Big(P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+(1+n)\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)-$$
$$\frac{4(1+n)\alpha\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)(\frac{d\theta}{d\xi})^2}{\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)^2}+$$
$$\frac{2\alpha\xi\theta^{-1+n}\Big(\pi E\theta\frac{dE}{d\xi}+\alpha P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\Big)}{P_{\rm rc}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta^{1+n}\Big)}+$$
$$\frac{4\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-n}\Big((\pi+16\pi E)\frac{dE}{d\xi}+\frac{d\Delta}{d\xi}+\pi\xi\frac{d^2E}{d\xi^2}\Big)}{\alpha\xi P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+(1+n)\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)^2}+$$
$$\frac{4\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\frac{d^2\theta}{d\xi^2}}{1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}\Big)=0. \tag{39}$$

LEE for case **2**

$$\frac{1}{4}\Big(\frac{\alpha\Big(\pi E^2+2\alpha P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)}{P_{\rm rc}\Big((1+n)\alpha\theta+n\alpha_1\theta^{-n}\Big)}-$$
$$\frac{4\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)}{\alpha\xi^2P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)}+$$
$$\Big(2\theta^{-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big).$$
$$\Big(\frac{8\pi^{3/2}\alpha E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}-2\xi\Big(P_{\rm rc}\theta^n+\alpha\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)+\alpha\xi E\Big(-4+\pi+\frac{16\pi^{3/2}\frac{dE}{d\xi}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}\Big)\Big)\Big)\Big/$$
$$\Big(\alpha\xi P_{\rm rc}^2\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big(1+(1+n)\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)-$$

$$\frac{\alpha\xi\theta^{-1+n}\Big(-n^2\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta^{1+n}\Big)\Big(\pi E^2+2\alpha P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{P_{\rm rc}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta^{1+n}\Big)^2}-$$
$$\frac{4(1+n)\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\xi P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)^2}-$$
$$\frac{4\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\xi P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)^2\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)}-$$
$$\frac{4n\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-1-n}\Big(8\pi E^2+\Delta+\pi\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha\xi P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big(1+(1+n)\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big)}+$$
$$\frac{2\Big(\frac{8\pi^{3/2}\alpha E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}-2\xi\Big(P_{\rm rc}\theta^n+\alpha\xi\frac{dE}{d\xi}\Big)+\alpha\xi E\Big(-4+\pi+\frac{16\pi^{3/2}\frac{dE}{d\xi}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}}\Big)\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}-$$
$$\frac{4\alpha\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)(\frac{d\theta}{d\xi})^2}{\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)^2}+$$
$$\frac{2\alpha\xi\theta^{-1+n}\Big(\pi E\theta\frac{dE}{d\xi}+\alpha P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}\Big)}{P_{\rm rc}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta^{1+n}\Big)}+$$
$$\frac{4\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\theta^{-n}\Big((\pi+16\pi E)\frac{dE}{d\xi}+\frac{d\Delta}{d\xi}+\pi\xi\frac{d^2E}{d\xi}\Big)}{\alpha\xi P_{\rm rc}\Big(1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)\Big(1+(1+n)\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)}+$$
$$\frac{4\Big(1+4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{P_{\rm rc}}}-v\Big)\frac{d^2\theta}{d\xi^2}}{1+\alpha_1+\alpha\theta}\Big)=0. \tag{40}$$

Δ For Case **1**

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -\pi E^2+\frac{1}{8\pi}\Big(4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}+\\ &\Big(4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)^2+\Big(4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big) +$$
$$\Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)^2 +$$
$$\Big(-1 - 8\pi^2E^2 - \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha} + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)$$
$$\Big(\frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)^2}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} - v\Big)^2} -$$
$$\frac{\xi\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)}{4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} - v\Big)} -$$
$$\frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(16\pi^2E^2 + 32\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi} - \frac{dv}{d\xi}\Big)}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} - v\Big)^2} +$$
$$\frac{4\pi^2E^2 + 8\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi} + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^{-1+n}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha}}{1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} - v}\Big) +$$
$$\Big(\frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)^2}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} - v\Big)^2} -$$
$$\frac{\xi\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(-4\pi^2E^2 + \frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(1+n\alpha_1+n\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)}{4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}} - v\Big)} -$$

$$\frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(16\pi^2E^2+32\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi}-\frac{dv}{d\xi}\Big)}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)^2}+$$

$$\frac{4\pi^2E^2+8\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^{-1+n}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha}}{1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v}\Big)^2\Big). \tag{41}$$

Δ For Case **2**

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \;=\; & -\pi E^2+\frac{1}{8\pi}\Big(4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}+\Big(-4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n}{\alpha}\Big)^2+\\
& \Big(-4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)+\\
& \Big(4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)^2+\\
& \Big(-1-8\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n}{\alpha}-\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\\
& \Big(-\frac{\xi\Big(-4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)}{4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)}+\\
& \frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)^2}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)^2}-\\
& \frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(16\pi^2E^2+32\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi}-\frac{dv}{d\xi}\Big)}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)^2}+
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{4\pi^2E^2+8\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^{-1+n}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha}}{1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v}\Big)+$$

$$\Big(-\frac{\xi\Big(-4\pi^2E^2+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)}{4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)}+$$

$$\frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)^2}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)^2}-$$

$$\frac{\Big(\frac{\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^n\Big(\alpha_1+\alpha\theta\Big)}{\alpha}\Big)\Big(16\pi^2E^2+32\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi}-\frac{dv}{d\xi}\Big)}{\Big(1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v\Big)^2}+$$

$$\frac{4\pi^2E^2+8\pi^2\xi E\frac{dE}{d\xi}+\frac{8\pi P_{\rm rc}\theta^{-1+n}\Big(n\alpha_1+(1+n)\alpha\theta\Big)\frac{d\theta}{d\xi}}{\alpha}}{1+\frac{4\pi^{3/2}\xi E^2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{\rm rc}}{\alpha}}}-v}\Big)^2\Big). \qquad (42)$$

References

[1] Chandrasekhar, S.: An introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure, University of Chicago, Chicago, (1939)

[2] Tooper, R. F.: Astrophys. J. **140**, 434 (1964)

[3] Tooper, R. F.: Astrophys. J. **142**, 1541 (1965)

[4] Kovetz, A.: Astrophys. J. **154**, 999 (1968)

[5] Abramowicz, M. A.: Acta Astronomica **33**, 313 (1983)

[6] Cosenza, M., Herrera, L., Esculpi, M., Witten. L.: J. Math. Phys. **22**, 118 (1981)

[7] Herrera, L., Santos, N. O.: Phys. Rep. **286**, 53 (1997)

[8] Herrera, L., Barreto, W.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **36**, 127 (2004)

[9] Herrera, L., Di Prisco, A., Martin, J., Ospino, J., Santos, N. O., Troconis, O.: Phys. Rev. D **69**, 084026 (2004).

[10] Herrera, L., Barreto, W.: Phys. Rev. D **87**, 087303 (2013)

[11] Herrera, L., Barreto, W.: Phys. Rev. D **88**, 084022 (2013)

[12] Herrera, L., Di Prisco, A., Barreto, W., Ospino, J.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **46**, 1827 (2014)

[13] Herrera, L., Fuenmayor, E., A., Leon, P.,: Phys. Rev. D **93**, 024247 (2016)

[14] Bekenstein, J. D.: Phys. Rev. D **4**, 2185 (1960)

[15] Bonnor, W. B.: Zeit. Phys. **160**, 59 (1960)

[16] Bonnor, W. B.: Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **129**, 443 (1964)

[17] Bondi, H.: Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **281**, 39 (1964)

[18] Koppar, S. S., Patel, L. K., Singh, T.: Acta Phys. Hung. **69**, 53 (1991)

[19] Ray, S., Malheiro, M., Lemos, J.P.S., Zanchin, V.T.: Braz. J. Phys. **34**, 310 (2004)

[20] Herrera, L., Di Prisco, A., Ibanez, J.: Phys. Rev. D **84**, 107501 (2011)

[21] Takisa, P. M., Maharaj, S. D.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **45**, 1951 (2013)

[22] Sharif, M., Sadiq, S.: Can. J. Phys. **93**, 1420 (2015)

[23] Azam, M., Mardan, S. A., Rehman, M. A.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **358**, 6 (2015), ibid. **359**, 14 (2015); Adv. High Energy Phys. **2015**, 865086 (2015); Commun. Theor. Phys. **65**, 575 (2016); Chin. Phys. Lett. **33**, 070401 (2016)

[24] Chavanis, P. H.: Eur. Phys. J. Plus **129**, 38 (2014); ibid. **129**, 222 (2014)

[25] Freitas, R. C., Goncalves, S. V. B.: Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 3217 (2014)

[26] Azam, M., Mardan, S. A., Noureen, I., Rehman, M. A.: Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 315 (2016)

[27] Kompaneets, A. S.: JETP **7**, 659 (1958)

[28] Marder, L.: Proc. R. Soc. London **A 244**, 524 (1958)

[29] Thorne, K. S: Phys. Rev. **138**, B251 (1935)

[30] Whittaker, E. T.: Proc. Roy. Soc. A **149**, 384 (1935)

[31] Herrera, L., Le Denmat, G., Marcilhacy, G., Santos, N. O.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **14**, 657 (2005)

[32] Herrera, L., Santos, N. O.: Class. Quantum. Grav. **22**, 2407 (2005)

[33] Debbasch, F., Herrera, L., Pereira, P.R.C.T., Santos N.O.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **38** 1825 (2006)

[34] Di Prisco, A., Herrera, L., MacCallum, M. A. H., Santos, N. O.: Phys. Rev. D **80** 064031 (2009)

[35] Sharif, M., Fatima, S.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **43** 127 (2011)

[36] Sharif, M., Azam. M.: JCAP **43** 1202 (2012)

[37] Ghua, S., Banerji, R.: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **53**, 2332 (2014)

[38] Sharif, M., Sadiq, S.: Can. J. Phys. **93**, 1538 (2015)

[39] Mahmood, T., Shah, S. M., Abbas, G. **357** 56 (2015)

[40] Darmois, G.: Memorial des Sciences Mathematiques, Fasc. 25 (Gautheir-Villars, 1927)

[41] Israel, W.: Nuovo Cimento B **44S10** 1 (1966);ibid. Erratum **B48**, 463 (1967)

[42] Visser, M.:Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7578 (1997)